

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,992	01/25/2002	Mikio Iwamura	218810US2	7716
22850	7590 12/13/2004		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			NGUYEN, HUY D	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2681	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antique Community	10/054,992	IWAMURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Huy D Nguyen	2681			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. 6 133).			
Status		•			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ja	nuarv 2002.				
·	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11292004. 	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-8, 11-13, 15, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Murasawa et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,760,594).

Regarding claims 1, 7, and 12, Murasawa et al. teaches that in communication in which calls of a plurality of services having mutually different degrees of priority are present and respective calls perform access with shared resources, a call admission control method of controlling call admission characterized in that: for said plurality of services, a plurality of corresponding call admission threshold values are set beforehand in accordance with said respective degrees of priority; and in respect of a requested call, the resource use condition of a predetermined resource designated as the subject of monitoring and said call admission threshold value corresponding to said service in this call are compared and new call admission in respect of said requested call is restricted in accordance with the comparison result (Figs. 2-4; Col. 2, lines 7-24; Col. 6, lines 34-67; Col. 7, lines 1-4; Col. 10, lines 1-55).

Regarding claims 2, 8, and 13, Murasawa et al. teaches the call admission control method according to claim 1, characterized in that said plurality of services include at least a first service of high degree of priority and a second service of lower degree of priority than said first service;

Application/Control Number: 10/054,992

Page 3

and said call admission threshold value corresponding to said first service is set higher than said call admission threshold value corresponding to said second service (Col. 6, lines 34-67; Col. 7, lines 1-4).

Regarding claims 4, 15, Murasawa et al. teaches the call admission control method according to claim 1, characterized by comprising: a resource measurement step in which said resource use condition is measured and this measured value is acquired; a comparison result acquisition step in which said measured value and said call admission threshold value corresponding to said service of said requested call are compared to obtain said comparison result; and a call admission restriction step in which new call admission in respect of said requested call is denied if the obtained comparison result proves that said measured value exceeds said call admission threshold value (Col. 10, lines 36-46).

Regarding claims 6, 11, 17, Murasawa et al. teaches the call admission control method according to claim 1, characterized in that the access system employed in said communication is the CDMA system, and said resource that is designated as the subject of monitoring is at least one of the amount of up-link interference, the down-link transmission power, the number of devices employed or the number of spreading codes (Col. 3, lines 10-13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 3. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,992 Page 4

Art Unit: 2681

4. Claims 3, 5, 9-10, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murasawa et al. in view of Leppisaari et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,532,227).

Regarding claims 3, 9, and 14, Murasawa et al. teaches the call admission control method according to claim 2 except that of plurality of services, a service using a circuit switching system as its switching system is employed as said first service of high degree of priority and a service using a packet switching system is employed as said second service of lower degree of priority. However, the preceding limitation is taught in Leppisaari et al. (Col. 3, lines 1-7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of Leppisaari et al. to the teaching of Murasawa et al. to improve system capability.

Regarding claims 5, 10, and 16, Murasawa et al. teaches the call admission control method according to claim 1 except that the access system employed in said communication is the FDMA system or TDMA system. However, the preceding limitation is taught in Leppisaari et al. (Col. 1, lines 36-49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of Leppisaari et al. to the teaching of Murasawa et al. to improve system capability.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent applicant's disclosure.
 - Moon (U.S. Patent No. 5,627,876) teaches call priority override in a land mobile radio system.

Art Unit: 2681

- Sicher (U.S. Patent No. 5,570,411) teaches call priority in a mobile radiotelephone system.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-3283. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 703-308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ha

Huy Nguyen

SUCHIOLOGY CENTER 250